

### REMARKS

The latest office action in this case reopened prosecution on the merits following applicants appeal brief, which demonstrated that pending claims 22-33 were patentably distinct from Bickmore in view of Baecker. This latest office action attempts to overcome these prior, now withdrawn, rejections by combining Bickmore with another reference, US 6,593,944 to Nicolas (hereinafter "Nicolas"). But all of these new rejections must be withdrawn because the invention described in this application predates the filing date of Nicolas, as demonstrated by the attached Rule 131 Affidavit of Prior Invention. This case is once again in condition for allowance.

New claims 34-50 present system and mobile device claims that are similar in many respects to claims 22-33. These claims are also in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES, DAY  
Attorneys for Applicants

Date: 7/29/05

By: David Cochran  
David B. Cochran  
Reg. No. 39,142  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Ave.  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114